

SBC Services, Inc. 1401 I Street NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone 202 326-8895 Fax 202 408-8745

BECEINED

JUN 3 0 2005

June 30, 2005

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

VIA HAND DELIVERY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington DC 20554

> Amendment to Remington Arms Company, Inc. Request for Waiver of Part Re:

15 ET Docket No. 05-183

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 20, 2005, SBC Communications Inc. filed Reply Comments in the Remington Arms Company, Inc. Request for Waiver of Part 15.

It's Reply Comments were filed in ET Docket No. 05-182 instead of ET Docket No. 05-183.

Attached is SBC's Amended Reply Comments in Remington Arms Company, Inc. Request for Waiver of Part 15 ET Docket No. 05-183.

Please replace the June 20, 2005, filing with the corrected filing attached to this letter.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 326-8895.

Sincerely,

Jim L'amoureux

No. of Copies rec'd. List ABCDE



Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

	2014 3 (2005
"ederei	Communication Office of Seci	ns Commission

In the Matter of)	
Remington Arms Company, Inc. Request for Waiver of Part 15)))	ET Docket No. 05-183
)	

SBC'S REPLY COMMENTS1

As with other parties in this proceeding,² SBC is concerned about the interference effects of Remington's device with other transmitting devices in the 2.4 GHz band. The device's transmit power of 1000 mW will cause interference to all other systems in the vicinity operating in the same frequency. The video surveillance application of the device will generate a continuous waveform for the entire period the device is in operation, making the spectrum unusable by any other devices within range. Depending on the surrounding environment, such interference could degrade the performance of—or render completely inoperable—other systems as far away as a few hundred meters to a few kilometers. Specifically, the Remington device could render inoperable WiFi systems within the vicinity of the device. Given the rapid proliferation of WiFi systems, the interference caused by Remington's device thus could have far-reaching effects: it could effectively disable wireless broadband access for anyone within range of the device. The Commission should give strong consideration to the magnitude of such effects. At a minimum, the Commission should impose stringent use and user restrictions, e.g.,

¹ SBC Communications Inc. files these reply comments, on behalf of itself and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including: Southwestern Bell Telephone LP, Pacific Bell, Nevada Bell, Ameritech Illinois, Ameritech Indiana, Ameritech Michigan, Ameritech Ohio, Ameritech Wisconsin, the Southern New England Telephone Company, ASI, AADS Illinois, AADS Michigan, AADS Indiana, AADS Ohio, AADS Wisconsin, SBC LD, and SBC Telecom (collectively "SBC").

² See, e.g., Cisco Systems Comments.

limiting the sale and use of the device to federal, state and local police and public safety organizations for use only in life threatening situations, as a condition of granting Remington's request for waiver.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jim Lamoureux

Jim Lamoureux Gary L. Phillips Paul K. Mancini

SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC. 1401 I Street NW 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

202-326-8895 – phone 202-408-8745 - facsimile Its Attorneys

June 20, 2005